

A Systematic Review Paper on Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) and Its Important Applications

Rehana*



*Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan.

Article Received: 12 March 2020

Article Accepted: 11 May 2020

Article Published: 05 June 2020

ABSTRACT

Genetically modified organisms (GMOs) have been presenting for profitable obtaining since the 1990s, approving makers to rise crop harvests over bioengineering that crops herbicide-resistant and insect-resistant varieties. Considering the excessive influence of genetic modification in food production, it is important for food companies, strategy makers and regulators to recognize how consumers make sense of this technology, particularly in developed countries, which are intensive producers. GM crops are hopeful to moderate current and future problems in profitable agriculture. In this paper I update the rule of GMO's in different areas like Plants, environments. Crops, Impact on humane health, Soil, and water.

Abbreviations: (GMO's)-Genetically Modified Organisms, (GM)-Genetic modification, (FAO)-Food and Agriculture Organization.

Keywords: Genetic Modification, Toxicological, Food, Technology, Method, Antibodies.

Introduction

Current claims of agreement over the protection of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) looks to be an artificial and mistaken continued concept [1],[2]. Genetic modification (GM) is the range of biotechnology which concerns itself with the use of the inherited substantial in living organisms, allowing them to achieve specific functions. [3],[4] The 3 most approximately full-grown US GMO yields—cotton, soybeans, and corn—primarily accounted for very small ratios of farmland in 1996, but have extended quickly as agriculturalists frequently adopt them, citing their capacity to raise crops as the main aim [1],[5].

Roughly pollutions or contaminations by insecticides [6],[7] and further chemical remains [8]–[10] affect human and animal health, composed of biodiversity. Thus, it is important to study potential mid and long-term toxicological effects during regulatory tests before the commercialization of chemicals, and not to test only short-term or sub chronic effects. This question has also been raised for GMOs [7],[11]. Environmental requests of microorganisms are widespread and diverse, extending from bioremediation, biopesticides, nitrogen fixation, plant development sponsor, to biocontrol of plant viruses, and additional such agricultural performs. The functional application of recombinant DNA procedures has exposed the likely for heritably enhanced microorganisms to be used as soil or seed inoculants [12],[13].

Transgenic (GM) plants are those that have been genetically modified using recombinant DNA technology. This may be to direct a gene that is not natural to the plant or to adapt endogenous genes. The protein fixed by the genetic factor will confer a trait or characteristic

of that plant. The technology can be used in several ways, for specimens, to engineer resistance to abiotic pressures, such as drought, risky temperature or salinity, and biotic pressures, such as bugs and pathogens, that would generally display harmful to plant growth or survival. The technology can also be used to recover the 58 EMBO Reports. Molecular agriculture for new drugs and vaccines. EMBO Reports 2005; 6:593–9 nutritional content of the plant, an application that could be of use in the developing world. New-generation GM crops are now also being established to harvest recombinant medicines and engineering products, such as monoclonal antibodies, vaccines, plastics, and biofuels. [12],[14].

The lack of toxicological educations on GM plants was positively plain. It was determined that if data on the toxicological valuation of GM foods in over-all, and GM plants in specific were increased, the consequences had not been published in scientific journals, avoiding consequently the possibility of being subjected to the judgment of the international scientific community. In 2010, I again assessed the state-of-the-art regarding the potential adverse effects/safety assessment of GM plants for human consumption [3].

The first environmental publications for GM crop plants were known in the United States (US), for confined field experimental since 1985 and opportunity confined planting(deregulation)in 1992.GM plants have received significant care in the mass media and have dominated the deliberations about risk valuation and rule between the scientific and controlling societies [15],[16].

only two characters control GM crop: herbicide resistance (HR) (45.1%) and insect resistance (IR) (34.6%) [12]. The extensive custom of genetic manufacturing in food production replicates the strength of agriculture and food manufacturing, particularly in emerging nations. Twenty-eight states promote transgenic plants, resultant in planting in the area of 181.5 million hectares and a rise of over 100 periods since 1996 [17].

The best method to know how consumers make logic of new services is to network directly with them and collect evidence such as knowledge, beliefs, opinions, and feelings nearby the topic of interest. For this sake, qualitative research procedures have been usually used in social and user studies [17], [18]. February 2015, the maximum recognized phenotype patriating the United States was herbicide tolerance, agronomic properties, better-quality produce, and insect resistance [1].

Genetically modifying a plant

Numerous systems occur to yield GM vegetation. The binary most usually active in the bacterium *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, which can transmission DNA to plants, and the 'gene

gun', which shoots tiny elements covered with genetic material into the plant cell. Using GM plants as a stage for manufacturing medicines has many possible advantages over outdated schemes. For model, GM plants can yield complex multimeric proteins such as antibodies that cannot be readily expressed by bacteriological classifications. In calculation, pharmacological manufacture can be on a huge agricultural scale[19]–[21]. It has been estimated that 250 a cresol glasshouses pace would be a must to the developing quantity of GM potatoes essential to meet the yearly request for the hepatitis B vaccine in entire Southeast Asia.[22]

The subsequent concerns have been expressed about GM plants and the environment

- That GM plants will sexually hybridize with non-GM plants done the transfer of pollen
- That GM plants may themselves become invasive weeds
- That the conditions essential to growing GM plants will affect local flora and fauna populations[4], [23]–[25]

GM plants and the environment

GM plants are also existence measured for how they might have an optimistic part to play in the environment by selective exclusion of pollutants—a process known as phytoremediation. For example, plants have previously been genetically engineered to collect heavy metal soil impurities such as mercury and selenium to advanced stages than would be possible for non-GM plants [21],[26],[27].

To portion whether a GMO will be harmless for the atmosphere, most GMOs can replicate, multiply, and spread in the environment after they are released. The genetic modification could give GM plants, animals, or microorganisms a benefit that would permit them to rise in numbers and spread in the environment. The environmental risks from GMOs will vary, reliant on the features, and the exchanges among, the organism, the trait introduced through the gene, and the environment. The originality of GMOs, the detail that like all plants they will continue to reproduce after release, the complexity of natural environments and ecosystem developments, and the unidentified evolutionary fate of introduced genetic factors, all essential to be considered in expecting environmental influences [12].

The discussion for ecological inferences of GM yields has been placed on inquiries such as: what are the possible environmental hazards concerned by GM crops? And, if we commercialize GM crops how far it will communicate unwanted effects on non-target class? Firstly, toxicity formed by chemicals used with GM crops is a large experimental to the

environment as well as to the hereditary plants [24]. Secondly, such crops can be toxic to non-target species particularly to the “friendly” species such as beetles, bees, and butterflies [28].

The role of genetic engineering in more sustainable crop manufacture as well as natural standby conservation, with biodiversity, is reasonable. However, its role in accelerating the harmful effects of agriculture cannot be avoided. Issues of baseline environmental impacts are particularly relevant with the release of transgenic profitable crops [2], [29], [30]. Through effects contain gene transfer, trait effects to non-target types as well as wild-life, invasiveness, tweediness and genetic recombination of free DNA in the environment. On the different, secondary impacts comprise harmful and side effects of chemical control i.e. reduced efficiency of pest, disease and weed regulator, the effect on water and soil and the international decline of biodiversity [31].

Role of GM in crop production

GM crops have been frequently positive in succeeding in the above major agriculture challenges while providing frequent benefits to farmers universal. From 1996–2013, they produced \$117.6 bn over 17 years in international farm profits benefit alone. The worldwide every year net income improved by 34.3% in 2010– 2012. [3],[31],[32] Also, while growing global profit by 22%, GM crops reduced pesticide (active ingredient) usage by 37% and environmental impact (insecticide and herbicide use) by 18%.r15(paper=4). Firstly, only 36% of the growers accepted the new crop though this statistic soon grew to 46% in 2004, [31],[33]. 7 million out of the 8 million growers (88%) are growing Bt-cotton yearly. Cotton crop yields have also increased by 31% while equally, insecticide usage has more than halved (46% to 21%) ornamental India’s cotton profits by US\$11.9 bn [3].

Effect on Soil and Water

Incessant debate still prevails between scientists and farming societies about the effects of GM crop summary on groundwater and water artificial lake. This debate is straightly related to the degree and amount of herbicide use on GM yields. As it is known, GM crops are accepting of herbicides and invitation broad-spectrum herbicide applications [34], [35]. Concurrently, transfer of Bt toxins from GM crops to soil and water has many possible routes including pollen deposition throughout anthesis, root exudates, and GM plant resistant [35], [36]. Proposal occurs that Bt toxins bind to the clay and hemic substances, variety the proteins recyclable [37]. When the protein is sure to the clay particles their exposure to degradation drops as experiential by Stotzky (2004). Most grants have recommended that Bt proteins from transgenic plants break down relatively quickly in the initial stage after

entering the soil and that only a small volume of them can continue for a long time so that Bt proteins do not bio-accumulate in soil [12],[36],[38]. But, the persistence of Bt toxins in the soil is largely dependent on the type of toxin and soil type not the number of expressed transgenes [38]. As a consequence of less chemical pesticides being scattered on cotton, clear health benefits for seasonal workers have been known in China [39],[40] and South African [41].

Impact of GMO'S on human health

At least some of the genes used in GMOs may not have been used in the food supply already, so GM foods may pose a likely risk for human health. The significance of the GM production currently grown universal is considered for animal feed. Execution to the UK's Food Values Agency, food from animals fed on these crops is as safe as food from animals fed on non-GM crops [42]. The FAO has also decided that dangers to human and animal health from the use of GM crops and enzymes derived from GM microorganisms as animal feed are small [43]. There is also an option that if external gene integrates into human DNA, they could change on random genes inside of humans, important to an overproduction of a poison, allergen or chemical [44],

To raise the achievement rate of genetic modification, academics have used a technique including antibiotic resistance genes in addition to the favorite gene to classify which plants have positively absorbed the introduced gene. The antibiotic kanamycin is a frequently-used sign for plant modification yet is still used for treating many human infections. The risk is carefully serious abundant to inspire scientists to accept methods to eliminate the marker genes before a crop plant is technologically advanced for profitable use. Scientists have also freshly developed another marker derived from tobacco rather than bacteria[45].

Conclusion

The use of genetically modified organisms is vital to meet increasing demands and improve existing conditions prevalent in our environment Finally it should be stressed that statistically important effects of GM diets, or of residues of pesticides that are contained by GMOs, have also been observed in other examples but not in all studies [46]–[48]. As technology continues to advance and new tools of biotechnology become available, this kind of work can be predicted to increase in the future.

References

[1] S. Wunderlich and K. A. Gatto, "Consumer Perception of Genetically Modified," no. February, 2015.

- [2] J. L. Domingo and J. G. Bordonaba, "A literature review on the safety assessment of genetically modified plants," *Environ. Int.*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 734–742, 2011.
- [3] R. Raman, "Biotechnology in Agriculture and the Food Chain The impact of Genetically Modified (GM) crops in modern agriculture : A review The impact of Genetically Modified (GM) crops in modern agriculture : A review," vol. 5698, 2018.
- [4] C. Zhang, R. Wohlhueter, and H. Zhang, "Genetically modified foods : A critical review of their promise and problems," *Food Sci. Hum. Wellness*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 116–123, 2016.
- [5] D. C. Washington, "Genetically Engineered Crops in the United States Genetically Engineered Crops in the United States," 2014.
- [6] G. P. Daston, J. C. Cook, and R. J. Kavlock, "Uncertainties for Endocrine Disrupters : Our View on Progress," vol. 252, pp. 245–252, 2003.
- [7] G. Séralini et al., "How Subchronic and Chronic Health Effects can be Neglected for GMOs, Pesticides or Chemicals," vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 438–443, 2009.
- [8] J. Toppari et al., "Male Reproductive Health and Environmental Xenoestrogens," vol. 104, no. August, pp. 741–803, 1996.
- [9] C. Sultan, "Increased serum estrogenic bioactivity in three male newborns with ambiguous genitalia : A potential consequence of prenatal exposure to environmental endocrine disruptors," vol. 100, pp. 39–43, 2006.
- [10] D. Belpomme, P. Irigaray, L. Hardell, R. Clapp, and L. Montagnier, "The multitude and diversity of environmental carcinogens," vol. 105, pp. 414–429, 2007.
- [11] G. E. Séralini, D. Cellier, and J. S. De Vendomois, "New analysis of a rat feeding study with a genetically modified maize reveals signs of hepatorenal toxicity," *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 596–602, 2007.
- [12] G. M. Organisms, "Environmental Risks of Chemicals and Genetically Modified Organisms : A Comparison," vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 120–126, 2001.
- [13] D. Schubert, "COMMENTARY A different perspective on GM food," vol. 92037, p. 92037, 2001.
- [14] R. D. Ambrosio, A. Aghemo, and M. Colombo, "Assessing safety and efficacy of sofosbuvir for the treatment of hepatitis C," pp. 1–12, 2015.
- [15] K. E. Hokanson, W. O. Dawson, A. M. Handler, and M. F. Schetelig, "Not all GMOs are crop plants : non-plant GMO applications in agriculture," 2013.

- [16] A. Mchughen and S. Smyth, "US regulatory system for genetically modified [genetically modified organism (GMO), rDNA or transgenic] crop cultivars," pp. 2–12, 2008.
- [17] T. G. Ribeiro, B. Barone, and J. H. Behrens, "Genetically modified foods and their social representation," FRIN, 2016.
- [18] P. Stefanowicz, "Sensory evaluation of food principles and practices," vol. 1264, pp. 1–2, 2013.
- [19] J. K. Ma, P. M. W. Drake, and P. Christou, "THE PRODUCTION OF RECOMBINANT PHARMACEUTICAL PROTEINS IN PLANTS," vol. 4, no. October, pp. 794–805, 2003.
- [20] R. W. Herdt, "Iotechnology in," 2006.
- [21] S. Key, J. K. Ma, and P. M. W. Drake, "Genetically modified plants and human health," pp. 290–298, 2008.
- [22] E. Taghizadeh, "Molecular mechanisms , prevalence , and molecular methods for familial combined hyperlipidemia disease : A review," no. November, 2018.
- [23] S. Bonny, "Genetically Modified Herbicide-Tolerant Crops , Weeds , and Herbicides : Overview and Impact," Environ. Manage., 2015.
- [24] M. O. Brien and E. Mullins, "Relevance of genetically modified crops in light of future environmental and legislative challenges to the agri-environment," vol. 154, pp. 323–340, 2009.
- [25] L. Rydhmer and L. F. Sundstr, "Genetically modified farm animals and fish in agriculture : A review," vol. 153, pp. 1–9, 2013.
- [26] Y. Sasaki, Æ. T. Hayakawa, S. Silver, and Æ. T. Kusano, "Generation of mercury-hyperaccumulating plants through transgenic expression of the bacterial mercury membrane transport protein MerC," pp. 615–625, 2006.
- [27] E. Bay, "Transgenic Indian Mustard Overexpressing Selenocysteine Lyase or Selenocysteine Methyltransferase Exhibit Enhanced Potential for Selenium Phytoremediation under Field Conditions," vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 599–605, 2007.
- [28] A. Pott, M. Otto, and R. Schulz, "Science of the Total Environment Impact of genetically modified organisms on aquatic environments : Review of available data for the risk assessment," Sci. Total Environ., vol. 635, pp. 687–698, 2018.
- [29] P. J. Dale, B. Clarke, and E. M. G. Fontes, "Potential for the environmental impact of transgenic crops," vol. 20, no. June, pp. 567–575, 2002.

- [30] P. Taylor and J. L. Domingo, "Toxicity Studies of Genetically Modified Plants : A Review of the Published Literature Toxicity Studies of Genetically Modified Plants : A Review of the," no. March 2013, pp. 37–41, 2007.
- [31] P. Taylor, G. Brookes, P. Barfoot, G. Brookes, and P. Barfoot, "Economic impact of GM crops The global income and production effects 1996 – 2012 Economic impact of GM crops," no. March 2015, pp. 37–41, 2014.
- [32] H. Chen and Y. Lin, "Promise and issues of genetically modified crops," *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 255–260, 2011.
- [33] J. Kathage and M. Qaim, "Economic impacts and impact dynamics of Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) cotton in India," vol. 109, no. 29, 2012.
- [34] C. M. Benbrook, "Impacts of genetically engineered crops on pesticide use in the U . S . – the first sixteen years," pp. 1–13, 2012.
- [35] M. A. Nawaz et al., "Environmental impacts of genetically modified plants : A review," vol. 156, no. January, pp. 818–833, 2017.
- [36] H. Yu, Y. Li, and K. Wu, "Risk Assessment and Ecological Effects of Transgenic *Bacillus thuringiensis* Crops on Non-Target Organisms," vol. 53, no. 7, pp. 520–538, 2011.
- [37] D. Saxena and G. Stotzky, "Insecticidal toxin from *Bacillus thuringiensis* is released from roots of transgenic Bt corn in vitro and in situ," vol. 33, pp. 35–39, 2000.
- [38] S. Rauschen, H. T. Nguyen, I. Schuphan, J. A. Jehle, and S. Eber, "Rapid degradation of the Cry3Bb1 protein from *Diabrotica* -resistant Bt-corn MON88017 during ensilation and fermentation in biogas production facilities," vol. 1715, no. June, pp. 1709–1715, 2008.
- [39] C. Pray and D. Ma, "Impact of Bt Cotton in China," vol. 29, no. 5, 2001.
- [40] A. M. Tsatsakis et al., "Impact on environment , ecosystem , diversity and health from culturing and using GMOs as feed and food," *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, vol. 107, pp. 108–121, 2017.
- [41] R. Bennett, T. J. Buthelezi, Y. Ismael, and S. Morse, "Bt cotton , pesticides , labour and health A case study of smallholder farmers in the Makhathini Flats , Republic of South Africa," no. December 2013, 2003.
- [42] J. Godheja, "Impact of GMO ' S on environment and human health Impact of GMO ' S on environment and human health," no. February, 2016.

[43] P. S. J. Krishna and G. P. Reddy, "Agricultural Biotechnology in Developing Countries : Nature and ` Code ´ in Meeting the Needs of Resource Poor," 2005.

[44] S. Li, X. Yang, S. Yang, M. Zhu, and X. Wang, "Technology Prospecting on Enzymes : Application , Marketing and Engineering Abstract: Enzymes are protein molecules functioning as specialized catalysts for chemical reactions . They have contributed greatly to the traditional and modern chemical industr," *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1-11, 2012.

[45] H. H. Montaldo, "Genetic engineering applications in animal breeding," vol. 9, no. 2, 2006.

[46] N. Benachour, H. Sipahutar, S. Moslemi, C. Gasnier, C. Travert, and G. E. Séralini, "Time- and dose-dependent effects of roundup on human embryonic and placental cells," *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 126-133, 2007.

[47] D. G. Brake and D. P. Evenson, "A generational study of glyphosate-tolerant soybeans on mouse fetal, postnatal, pubertal and adult testicular development," *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 29-36, 2004.

[48] D. G. Brake, R. Thaler, and D. P. Evenson, "Evaluation of Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) Corn on Mouse Testicular Development by Dual Parameter Flow Cytometry," *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 2097-2102, 2004.