

Vol.6, Iss.2, Pages 22-29, April-June 2022

# FPGA-based Hardware Acceleration for Fruit Recognition Using SVM

Udhaya Kumar C<sup>1</sup>, Miruthula R C<sup>2</sup>, Pavithra G<sup>3</sup>, Revathi R<sup>4</sup> & Suganya M<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1-5</sup>Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Sri Eshwar College of Engineering, Coimbatore, India.



**Copyright** © 2022 Udhaya Kumar C et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Article Received: 12 February 2022

DOI: http://doi.org/10.46759/IIJSR.2022.6204

Article Accepted: 17 April 2022

Article Published: 24 May 2022

# ABSTRACT

Selection classification for Fruit recognition could be an absolute zone of inspection. Fruit Recognition mistreatment FPGA-based Hardware Acceleration by SVM is helpful for the observance and indexing of the fruits consistent with their kind with the peace of mind of a quick production chain. During this test, we have processed to initial replacement prime quality data-set of pictures grouped in the 5 preferred varieties of oval-shaped fruits. Honor to the fast image process techniques for the development, image resolution, quality of the algorithms leads to carry-out image process and computational tasks. In recent years, deep neural networks have a diode to the event of the many new applications associated with preciseness agriculture, as well as fruit recognition. An algorithm consumes computer power and memory, which has a significant impact on standard and performance, especially when working with large image datasets. Within the planned work, FPG is A based mostly on hardware acceleration for fruit, and recognition accuracy through the attributes mentioned. Using SVM for embedded system programs is incredibly difficult attributable to the intensive computations needed. This will increase the attractiveness of implementing SVM on hardware platforms for reaching performance computing with the demanded value of power consumption. Finally, a difficult trade-off between meeting embedded period systems constraints and high classification accuracy has been determined.

Keywords: Fruit recognition, FPGA, Support vector machine, Hardware acceleration.

#### 1. Introduction

In the 80s, the development of agriculture step by step combined with computing to promote management automatically [1]. Nowadays, rising methods like the Internet of Things additional create additional management for the agricultural economy [2]. The farms, for instance, will use a variety of sensors to monitor the environment of expansion environments of crops and their health statuses.

By mistreatment of the collected detector knowledge, farmers and firms will extract the data to boost the productivity and production of crops. Moreover, with the AI recognition, the potency management of agriculture so increased considerably [3],[4].

The targeted crop for recognition may be a valid application in object detection. Currently, the convolutional neural network is the most representative model of deep learning. A CNN consists of the input layer and multiple hidden layers associated with an output layer. The hidden layers embrace a series of convolutional layers with twisted multiplication of scalar products.

Therefore, CNN's area unit is computing intensity that hat they're sometimes enforced on exhibiting platforms likewise cloud servers [5]. Furthermore, this requires that the acquired pictures be transferred through a communication network to those powerful platforms, such as cloud servers, to facilitate object detection. However, this sometimes ends up in high latencies of information transfers within the net, and so the period detection cannot be achieved [7].

In upcoming years, as luck would have it, a brand new different known as edge computing which projected to bring knowledge and computation to the supply once needed. The hardware acceleration system is



the custom-made answer to enhance programs by mistreatment architectures proposed for multiprocessing. Field Programmable Gate Array offers hardware design and development to be implemented at a lower price. The most renowned version of FPGAs includes general-purpose logic resources and registers with specialized modules like memory, slice, and multipliers. During this research, we tend to approach two plans like plants and crop management, which can permit finding the fruits out of their leaves [6]. That system is in absolute demand once it involves a system for feeding fruits and robots that supervise the execution of vegetables and fruits [8],[9].

Each approach area is supported by the SVM classifier.

# 2. Basic Principles

A modern supervised learning instance, support vector machines, is considered one of the most effective machines learning methods, enabling sensible generalization performance for a varied array of regression and classification tasks [11]. The supervised learning area is comprised of two distant phases, the coaching, and the classification or it can be regression, just in cases where the system's output is synchronous. The Support Vector Machine part is to report for the identification of those points of knowledge which will construct a separate for the variant. These vector units are then unable to find the variant of future datum throughout the classification section [Fig.1].

The Support Vector Machine helps to learn, supportive info of extraordinary learning mechanism for classification and support, it can be then applied online or offline depending on its need.



Fig.1. Fruit Recognition by SVM Classifier using Deep Learning Features

However, the Support Vector Machine division could be a technologically costly phase, with stable growth in the classification load [12]. If wherever the huge scale of issues in a unit targeted or a high range of classified outputs should be established, the classification phase becomes wildly time-of-frame substandard and imperatively performed for acceleration rises. Further, on the targeted demerits, the data-set will be characterized as heterogeneous.

The Uniform datasets area unit typically resembles image capturing, likewise in face recognition and detection. Under uniform datasets, the selectness needs among the data-set alternative area of identity [10]. For instance, MNIST consists of twenty-eight  $\times$  twenty-eight-bit pictures per coaching sample and their uniform drawback, since every 784 indexed from their samples need an associate degree or angle eight-bit bit

ISSN: 2582-3981



under illustration. Still, another real-time data set promotes a vital role in diversities among the vigorous ranges of its proportions.

The variables among heterogeneous datasets would be categorical, indexing, mathematician, or continuous. As an example, the attributes of adult datasets embrace, varied among others, a person's status, these variables will be characterized as continuous, boolean, and categorical, and completely different exactness needs arise between them.

If one wants to solely represent a one-bit attribute mathematician, the legal entities, in keeping with the dataset specifications, enter into seven distinct classes and a three-bit illustration is sufficient. The performance and development of the SVMs will be maximized by customizing the utilization of the on-the-market computational resources to enquire under certain considerations about the character of the input file. This is one of the viable platforms for targeting Support Vector Machine classifiers on computing devices, which may utilize the potential of custom exactness arithmetic [Fig.2].



Fig.2. Hyperlite of the heterogeneous SVM classifier

FPGAs area unit semiconductor devices, that contain programmable logic components and a hierarchy of programmable interconnects. These days, FPGAs contain, additionally, coarse grain elements, like embedded multipliers, digital signal process blocks, and memory blocks.

The implementation of the laborious logic array, like multipliers onto the programmable material has enabled the FPGA devices to spice up their performance potency [13]. FPGA devices supply an extensive quantity of parts part and various memory sizes, providing a huge range of workable and huge quantity of parallel procedure power.

Furthermore, its mobility permits it to be used as a solution wherever the application suffers from information measure limitations. The FPGA reconfiguration offers a major advantage against application-specific customary merchandise and integrated circuits respectively, once targeting completely different classification issues which can vary in dynamic vary constraints, size, and spatial property. To boot, trendy FPGA devices area units able to supply equal or superior performance at a lower power price than general-purpose graphic process units.

ISSN: 2582-3981



# **3. Existing Methods**

This section, combined selective enforcement in machine learning on embedded systems and strategies in hardware acceleration. The quickest way to detect edge method is to complete the tasks, thanks to parallel computational proficiency of FPGA to extend method rate and scale back moderate timing, the bundle rule with XfOpencv has few merits in process time collate to different settings. A lot lately Tzanos et al. exhibit a hardware acceleration supported They Lomas Bayes to enhance ARM processors, the projected method will scale back the compiling time resembles sixteen, eight times whereas coaching half and fourteen times in the detected part [13]-[15].

Implementation through the learning mechanism is predicated on 3 notations, the initial one is to in-build much information in BRAM to linear access from the FPGA to cut back transferring information latency, and the second purpose is to avoid operation bottlenecks by partitioning massive arrays into multiple smaller individual arrays, the last purpose is to pipe-lining loops that assured the utmost similarity.

Different techniques projected a VLSI design of Thomas Bayes classification in FPGA for on-time extractions of facial expressions, this approach will perform real work extraction operational at a frequency of 241, 55 MHz [16]-[18].

# 4. Methodology

A-frame to ease accomplish the program for deep learning algorithms treatment PYNQ workflow is planned, the answer can facilitate skill man of science and hardware to mix the employment of Deep learning model with design FPGA design always. Our methodology relies on a group of initializing functions before the extraction section. the dimensions of the info are 5000 pictures broken into 2 categories ("2500 pictures contain fruits and 2500 pictures of tree leaves") to conciliate image size. At the beginning of the feature extraction section, we tend to re-sized the pictures to possess a common size of 150 x 150 x 3, blend bar graph of orientating gradient HOG, we tend to withdraw the pictures options to be classified; the final pace consists in coaching SVMs to possess a focused classification model. The hypothesis regarding the acceleration of the algorithmic program is to Associate in overlay dedicated to the part of the re-sizing of images pixels and to judge the compiling period and hence the performances of the feature extractions, while not acceleration.

# A. Dataset

The dataset to be examined in the performance of the prompt methodology includes pictures of forty forms of Indian fruits (Fig.1). These pictures consisted of a "13 Megapixel smartphone camera in natural daylight while not shade". The dataset contains twenty-three, 848 numbers of pictures and makes offered [19],[20] [Fig.3]. The study of online implementation of light-weight with a superior hardware accelerated answer. To take as a baseline the well-known Support Vector Machine that separates work-flows. The unit delineated by easy packet-level options, the scale of the first 3 points within the flow [21],[22]. As with any supervised technique, the SVM formula consists of 2 main parts: a coaching part and a detection phase. Throughout the part, the formula initiates from classes of applications and computing the featuring model by the separating fruit. This model denoted part decides of the class of application of recent workflow.

ISSN: 2582-3981





Fig.3. ModelSim Environment

# B. Support vector machine

Support Vector Machine could be a triple-crown extraction in supervised learning. It shows extended progress in beholding application, the most merits of the SVM is to seek out the best to increase the divisions of 2 categories just in case of binary way of feature classification and extraction. Every point of the categories has 2 alternatives parallel in units created and the algorithms try, and then denote the most effective way of separating the maximum space pixels.

# C. Image resizer

Details of Image	uataset	Established for Fruit Recognition.								
Name of Fruits	Label	Varieties	Train	Test	Sub-Total					
Apple	1	Ambrosia	492	62	554					
	2	Camspur Auvli	576	61	637					
	3	Earley Fuji	480	56	536					
	4	Fuji	492	66	558					
	5	Gala	421	64	485					
	6	Golden1	550	53	603					
	7	Golden2	515	53	568					
	8	Golden Spur	460	116	576					
	9	Granny Smith	495	63	558					
	10	Green	420	55	475					
	11	McIntosh	493	75	568					
	12	Oregun Spur	480	59	539					
	13	Red Delicious	491	63	554					
	14	Scarlet Gala	430	64	494					
Mango	15	Alphanos	665	100	765					
	16	Amrapali	600	90	690					
	17	Baiganpali	638	96	734					
	18	Dusheri	500	66	566					
	19	Himasagar	576	72	648					
	20	Kesar	701	69	770					
	21	Langra	640	88	728					
	22	Neelam	640	58	698					
	23	Suvernarekha	640	58	698					
Orange	24	Bergamout1	410	37	447					
	25	Bergamout2	576	52	628					
	26	Bitter	562	51	613					
	27	Kinnow	565	52	617					
	28	Sweet	480	43	523					
Pomegranate	29	Arakta	480	43	523					
1 onlogitunite	30	Bhagwa	440	40	480					
	31	Ganesh	493	44	537					
	32	Kandhari	490	44	534					
Tomato	33	Tomato1	540	49	589					
	34	Tomato2	500	45	545					
	35	Bumble Bee	738	67	805					
	36	Cherry Red	492	44	536					
	37	Maroon	376	33	409					
	38	Romanesco	738	67	805					
	39	Red Sweet Cherry	479	43	522					
	40	Sammarzano	672	61	733					
Grand Total					23 848					

Fig.4. Details of image Established for Fruit Recognition



The method towards the standardization size is knowledgeable, since the information pictures square measure massive. To decrease the debugging time frame, the method has a way to set back the scale of the images properly. The scale of the information (5000 images) is given, which will take longer to size them to  $150 \times 150 \times 3$ .

FPGA Board is associated with PYNQ Z1, which is equipped in the framework Pynq and in Zynq7020 and processor induced in the identical chip. Certainly, the processing system incorporates twin-core ARM cortex A9 computer hardware equipped with a built-in Ubuntu UNIX system, as well as the programmable logic PL (FPGA) unit equipped with associate Artix seven family memory components, that includes 13300 logic slices, 630 K of quick block RAM and 220 DSP slices, 512 MB of DDR3 with 16-bit bus. Pynq complies with the hardware acceleration requirements, and it includes Python drivers for FPGA bitstream transfer and information transmission using an application programming interface (API). Overlays would be used for programmable logic circuits when applied as hardware content.

# 5. Result and Conclusion

In this study, we experimented the classification models for the best performance with the six powerful architectures in deep neural networks technique for recognition of different kinds of fruits. The experimental studies were enforced victimization of the MATLAB-2019 deep learning tool.

messages			
/svm_addtree/dk	St1		
/svm_addtree/ist	580		
	00110010	00110010	
	0000000101010011	occeptor on a sector i no force i i na force i i no force con force con force con force i no force i no force i i no force i no forc	
	00110010	0010010	
	10110000	10118000	
	00000010	00000010	
	00000011	0000011	
	00000100	000003100	
	00000101	00000101	
	00000110	00000110	
	00000111	00000111	
	00001000	0000 0000	
	00001001	0000 000 1	
	00001010	0000 (010	
🕣 🔷 /svm_addtree,/sv_mem10	00001011	00000011	
	00001100	00001100	
	00001101	00001101	
	00001110	00001110	
	00001111	00001111	
	00010000	00013000	
	00010001	0001001	
<ul> <li>Isvm_addtree/alpha</li> </ul>	0010001100111001	0010001100111001000110011101011	
	0000000011001000	0000000011001000001101001	
	00000000000110000	A 000000000011000001111110000011101000001100 000000	
	0000000101010011		1
	01100100	01200100	
	10010110	10010110	
	11001000	11001000	
	11111010	111110/10	
	00101100	00101100	
Com addreams	01011110	A 193551A	
Now	1600 ns	450 ns 550 ns 550 ns 650 ns 750 ns 750 ns	
Cursor 1	0 ns		

Fig.5. Simulation result of SVM classifier

The active performance of each classifier is in terms of Specificity, Sensitivity, Accuracy, alphabetic character coefficient and, Precision, False Positive Rate (FPR). To perform the fine-tuning supported transfer for deep learning models from pre-trained CNN networks [Fig.5] [Fig.6].

Messages															
/svm_classification/clk	510														
/svm_classification/rst	510														
/svm_classification/rst1	510														
	100	000													100
	100000000	01010101				01010011	0 10 100 10	01010101							
	30000000	00110110	20100011	00001000	01010001	200000011	00000101	20011000	10010101	21000101	01010101				i
	20000000	01010011	01010001	01001001	0 100 1000	0 1000 100	00111001	01000011	01010011	01010101					
	255	242	243	244	245	246	247	240	249	250	251	252	253	254	255
	0001000101101111	000 10000 1	000100001	000100001	000100001	000100001	000100001	000 10000 1	200100010	000 1000 10	000100010	000 1000 10	000 1000 10	000100010	00010
	0001000001110001	\$000011110	200011110	000011111	200011111	000011111	000011111	000011111	200011111	200100000	000100000	200 100000	000100000	000100000	00010
	0001000001001111	20000111110	200011111	000011111	200011111	000011111		2000211111	200011111	200011111	000100000	200100000	000100000	000100000	00012
	0001000101101111	000100001	2002100001	000100001	000100001	000100001	000100001	000100001	200100010	000100010	000100010	2000 1000 10	000100010	000100010	00010.
	254	241	242	20	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254
	8	3													
	1	1													
/svm_classification/u0/ck	510														
/svm_classification/u0/ist	510														
	200000000	01010101				01010011	01010010	01010101							
Now Now	22314500 ns	600 ns	248	00 ne	250	00 ns	252	00 ns	254	00 ns	256	30 ns	258	00 ns	

Fig.6. Simulation result of SVM classifier with Fruit Recognition



The testing parameters for transfer learning, like most epochs, small size, initial learning rate, and also the validation frequency were allotted as 0.001 and 30, 5, 64. Furthermore, the random gradient descent with momentum was meant as a training methodology. Therefore, the performance of Fruit Recognition using FPGA-based Hardware Acceleration by SVM is best altogether sense.

#### **Declarations**

### Source of Funding

This research did not receive any grant from funding agencies in the public or not-for-profit sectors.

#### **Competing Interests Statement**

The authors declare no competing financial, professional and personal interests.

# **Consent for publication**

Authors declare that they consented for the publication of this research work.

#### References

[1] K.Kapach, E. Barnea, R. Mairon, Y. Edan, O. Ben-Shahar, (2012). Computer vision for fruit harvesting robots. State of the art and challenges ahead, Int. J. Comput. Vis. Robot. 3 4e34, https://doi.org/10.1504/ IJCVR.2012.046419.

[2] Zhang, L. Wu, (2012). Classification of fruits using computer vision and a multiclass support vector machine, Sensors 12(9): 12489e12505. https://doi:10.3390/s120912489.

[3] T.Thamaraimanalan, S.P.Vivekk, G.Satheeshkumar and P.Saravanan (2018). Smart Garden Monitoring System Using IOT. Asian Journal of Applied Science and Technology, 2(2): 186-192.

[4] S. Bennedsen, D.L. (2005). Peterson, Performance of a system for apple surface defect identification in near-infrared images, Biosyst. Eng. 90 419e431.

[5] G. Fernando, A.G. Gabriela, J. Blasco, N. Alexios, J.M. Valiente, (2010). Automatic detection of skin defects in citrus fruits using a multivariate image analysis approach, Comput. Electron. Agric. 71 189e197. https://doi:10.1016/j. compag.2010.02.001.

 [6] Chaudhari, D., Waghmare, S. (2022). Machine Vision Based Fruit Classification and Grading—A Review. In: Kumar, A., Mozar, S. (eds) ICCCE 2021. Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, vol 828.
 Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-7985-8\_81.

[7] Rojas-Aranda, J.L., Nunez-Varela, J.I., Cuevas-Tello, J.C., Rangel-Ramirez, G. (2020). Fruit Classification for Retail Stores Using Deep Learning. In: Figueroa Mora, K., Anzurez Marín, J., Cerda, J., Carrasco-Ochoa, J., Martínez-Trinidad, J., Olvera-López, J. (eds) Pattern Recognition. MCPR 2020. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 12088. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-49076-8\_1.

[8] Xue, G., Liu, S. & Ma, Y. (2020). A hybrid deep learning-based fruit classification using attention model and convolution autoencoder. Complex Intell. Syst. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40747-020-00192-x.



[9] J. Lopez, C. Maximo, A. Emanuel, (2011). Computer-based detection and classification of flaws in citrus fruits, Neural Comput. Appl. 20 975e981, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-010-0396-2.

[10] Fu, Y., Nguyen, M. & Yan, W.Q. (2022). Grading Methods for Fruit Freshness Based on Deep Learning. SN COMPUT. SCI. 3, 264. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-022-01152-7.

[11] A. Krizhevsky, S. Ilya, H.E. Geoffrey, (2012). Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pp. 1097e1105.

[12] Venkatesan, C., & Karthigaikumar, P. (2018). An efficient noise removal technique using modified error normalized LMS algorithm. National Academy Science Letters, 41(3): 155-159. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40009-018-0635-0.

[13] T. Thamaraimanalan and P. Sampath, (2019). Leakage Power Reduction in Deep Submicron VLSI Circuits using Delay based Power Gating. National Academy Science Letters, 43(3): 229-232.

[14] W. Shi, J. Cao, Q. Zhang, Y. Li, and L. Xu, (2016). Edge computing: Vision and Challenges. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 3(5): 637-646.

[15] Felzenszwalb and D. Huttenlocher, (2004). Efficient graph-based image seg- mentation. International Journal of Computer Vision, 59: 167-181.

[16] H. Meng, K. Appiah, A. Hunter and P. Dickinson, (2011). FPGA implementation of Naive Bayes classifier for visual object recognition. CVPR 2011 WORKSHOPS, pp.123-128, doi: 10.1109/CVPRW. 2011.5981831.

[17] K. Appiah, H. Meng, A. Hunter and P. Dickinson, (2010). Binary histogram based split/merge object detection using FPGAs. Proceedings of CVPR 2010 (6th IEEE Workshop on Embedded Computer Vision).

[18] D. J. M. Moss, E. Nurvitadhi, J. Sim, A. Mishra, D. Marr, S. Subhaschan-dra, and P. H. W. Leong, (2017). High-performance binary neural networks on the Xeon+FPGAT M platform, in Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Field Programmable Logic and Applications (FPL), pp. 1-4.

[19] J. Qiu, J. Wang, S. Yao, K. Guo, B. Li, E. Zhou, J. Yu, T. Tang, N. Xu, S. Song, Y. Wang, and H. Yang,(2016). Going deeper with embedded FPGA platform for convolutional neural network, in Proc.ACM/SIGDA Int. Symp. Field-Program. Gate Arrays, pp. 26-35.

[20] R. Zhao, W. Song, W. Zhang, T. Xing, J.-H. Lin, M. Srivastava, R. Gupta, and Z. Zhang, (2017). Accelerating binarized convolutional neural networks with software-programmable FPGAs, in Proc. ACM/SIGDA Int. Symp. Field Program. Gate Arrays, pp. 15-24.

[21] O. Russakovsky, J. Deng, H. Su, J. Krause, S. Satheesh, S. Ma, Z. Huang, A. Karpathy, A. Khosla, M. Bernstein, A. C. Berg, and L. Fei-Fei, (2015). Ima Genet large scale visual recognition challenge. Int. J. Comput. Vis., 115(3): 211-252.

[22] W. Li, M. Canini, A. Moore, and R. Bolla, (2009). Efficient Application Identification and the Temporal and Spatial Stability of Classification Schema, Computer Networks, Special Issue on Traffic classification and its applications to modern networks, 53: 790-809.